

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 15, 2001 LB 574

to ask you a question.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will answer.

SENATOR BROMM: Senator Chambers, I picked up on your comment, times do change.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BROMM: And so...and I do appreciate the fact that you are willing to at least accommodate the digital license process even though you have great objections to the biometric identifier of the facial feature. But I'd like to just ask you to consider thinking about how...I know you have a bill dealing with DNA...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BROMM: ...and we have learned things with using DNA evidence to allow us to add certainty to innocence or guilt, or at least to help provide more information as to the innocence or guilt, that we never had before. Isn't that correct?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That is absolutely true.

SENATOR BROMM: And when I first started hearing about DNA and some of the ways DNA could be used, I felt that that was in many ways intrusive. Did you, when you first heard about DNA and how it was being used?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, here's how I have to answer, not to be evasive.

SENATOR BROMM: Sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I was opposed when Senator Abboud brought a bill to require that anybody arrested and charged with a certain offense have to give up DNA. I was opposed to it because there was no basis for it. So what...here's the answer to your question. There is a difference between saying we're going to make everybody who passes through this process give up this DNA